

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

KENNETH VAUGHN,  
Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA,  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-01187-RFB-EJY

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3) of the Honorable Elayna J. Youchah, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on July 27, 2023. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by August 10, 2023. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with all the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3) is  
2 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED in full**.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* application (ECF No. 1)  
4 is **DENIED** as **moot**.

5           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims against the State of Nevada are  
6 **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

7           The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

8  
9           **DATED:** April 22, 2024.



---

11           **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
12           **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**